

University of Michigan

Winter 2023 Instructor Report

ECON 490-301: MicroEcon Topics

Bruna Morais Guidetti

9 out of 35 students responded to this evaluation.

Responses to University-wide questions about the course:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	School/College Median	Univ-Wide Median
This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter. (Q1631)	6	2	1	0	0	0	4.8	4.5	4.5
My interest in the subject has increased because of this course. (Q1632)	5	3	1	0	0	0	4.6	4.1	4.2
I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)	5	3	1	0	0	0	4.6	4.6	4.6
I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)	5	3	1	0	0	0	4.6	4.0	4.1
As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was (SA=Much Lighter, A=Lighter, N=Typical, D=Heavier, SD=Much Heavier). (Q891)	0	2	7	0	0	0	3.1	3.1	3.0

Responses to University-wide questions about the instructor:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	School/College Median	Univ-Wide Median
Bruna Morais Guidetti seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)	6	2	1	0	0	0	4.8	4.8	4.8
Bruna Morais Guidetti explained material clearly.(Q199)	4	4	1	0	0	0	4.4	4.7	4.7
Bruna Morais Guidetti treated students with respect.(Q217)	5	3	1	0	0	0	4.6	4.8	4.8

Responses to questions about the course:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median
Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions. (Q223)	5	3	1	0	0	0	4.6
Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating. (Q327)	3	3	3	0	0	0	4.0
Examinations covered the important aspects of the course. (Q356)	3	5	1	0	0	0	4.2
Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty. (Q360)	2	5	1	1	0	0	4.0
The test items were adequately explained after a test was given. (Q364)	3	4	1	1	0	0	4.1

Responses to questions about the instructor:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median
Bruna Morais Guidetti was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom. (Q185)	5	3	1	0	0	0	4.6
Bruna Morais Guidetti handled questions well. (Q200)	5	3	1	0	0	0	4.6
Bruna Morais Guidetti was willing to meet and help students outside class. (Q219)	5	3	1	0	0	0	4.6
Bruna Morais Guidetti set high standards for students. (Q241)	5	3	1	0	0	0	4.6
Bruna Morais Guidetti was skillful in observing student reactions. (Q210)	5	3	1	0	0	0	4.6
Bruna Morais Guidetti seemed to enjoy teaching. (Q206)	5	3	1	0	0	0	4.6

The medians are calculated from Winter 2023 data. University-wide medians are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college medians in this report are based on classes that are upper division with enrollment of 16 to 74 in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.



Written Comments

Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)

Comments
Very helpful to the course
Bruna is awesome! It is obvious she is very hardworking. She clearly explained material and expressed a strong compassion for her students. I appreciated that she was straightforward with students while maintaining a high level of respect. Brunna has a bright future ahead of her, so Michigan is lucky to have her as an instructor and PhD student.
She has been one of my favorite discussion instructors.
She was a great teacher, I think for this course especially the first half of the semester there was too much content for the time we had so it was often rushed or just cut if we didn't have enough time.

University of Michigan

Winter 2023 Instructor Report

ECON 490-302: MicroEcon Topics

Bruna Morais Guidetti

7 out of 35 students responded to this evaluation.

Responses to University-wide questions about the course:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	School/College Median	Univ-Wide Median
This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter. (Q1631)	4	2	0	0	0	1	4.8	4.5	4.5
My interest in the subject has increased because of this course. (Q1632)	4	2	0	0	0	1	4.8	4.1	4.2
I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)	4	2	0	0	0	1	4.8	4.6	4.6
I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)	3	3	0	0	0	1	4.5	4.0	4.1
As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was (SA=Much Lighter, A=Lighter, N=Typical, D=Heavier, SD=Much Heavier). (Q891)	1	1	4	1	0	0	3.1	3.1	3.0

Responses to University-wide questions about the instructor:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	School/College Median	Univ-Wide Median
Bruna Morais Guidetti seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)	4	2	0	0	0	1	4.8	4.8	4.8
Bruna Morais Guidetti explained material clearly.(Q199)	4	2	0	0	0	1	4.8	4.7	4.7
Bruna Morais Guidetti treated students with respect.(Q217)	4	2	0	0	0	1	4.8	4.8	4.8

Responses to questions about the course:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median
Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions. (Q223)	4	2	0	0	0	1	4.8
Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating. (Q327)	2	4	0	0	0	1	4.3
Examinations covered the important aspects of the course. (Q356)	2	3	0	0	0	2	4.3
Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty. (Q360)	2	3	0	0	0	2	4.3
The test items were adequately explained after a test was given. (Q364)	2	3	1	0	0	1	4.2

Responses to questions about the instructor:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median
Bruna Morais Guidetti was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom. (Q185)	3	3	0	0	0	1	4.5
Bruna Morais Guidetti handled questions well. (Q200)	4	2	0	0	0	1	4.8
Bruna Morais Guidetti was willing to meet and help students outside class. (Q219)	4	2	0	0	0	1	4.8
Bruna Morais Guidetti set high standards for students. (Q241)	4	2	0	0	0	1	4.8
Bruna Morais Guidetti was skillful in observing student reactions. (Q210)	4	2	0	0	0	1	4.8
Bruna Morais Guidetti seemed to enjoy teaching. (Q206)	4	2	0	0	0	1	4.8

The medians are calculated from Winter 2023 data. University-wide medians are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college medians in this report are based on classes that are upper division with enrollment of 16 to 74 in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.

University of Michigan

Fall 2022 Instructor Report

ECON 490-201: MicroEcon Topics

Bruna Morais Guidetti

13 out of 35 students responded to this evaluation.

Responses to University-wide questions about the course:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	Univ-wide Median	School/College Median
This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter. (Q1631)	9	3	0	1	0	0	4.8	4.5	4.6
My interest in the subject has increased because of this course. (Q1632)	6	5	2	0	0	0	4.4	4.2	4.4
I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)	8	4	0	1	0	0	4.7	4.6	4.7
I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)	3	8	2	0	0	0	4.1	4.0	4.2
As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was (SA=Much Lighter, A=Lighter, N=Typical, D=Heavier, SD=Much Heavier). (Q891)	0	2	8	2	1	0	2.9	3.0	3.0

Responses to University-wide questions about the instructor:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	Univ-wide Median	School/College Median
Bruna Morais Guidetti seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)	11	1	0	0	1	0	4.9	4.8	4.9
Bruna Morais Guidetti explained material clearly.(Q199)	10	2	0	0	1	0	4.9	4.7	4.8
Bruna Morais Guidetti treated students with respect.(Q217)	12	0	0	1	0	0	5.0	4.8	4.9

Responses to questions about the course:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median
Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions. (Q223)	9	3	0	1	0	0	4.8
Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating. (Q327)	4	4	2	1	1	1	4.0
Examinations covered the important aspects of the course. (Q356)	7	2	1	0	0	3	4.8
Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty. (Q360)	6	2	1	0	1	3	4.7
The test items were adequately explained after a test was given. (Q364)	6	4	2	0	1	0	4.4

Responses to questions about the instructor:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median
Bruna Morais Guidetti was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom. (Q185)	11	1	1	0	0	0	4.9
Bruna Morais Guidetti handled questions well. (Q200)	10	2	0	0	1	0	4.9
Bruna Morais Guidetti was willing to meet and help students outside class. (Q219)	9	3	0	0	1	0	4.8
Bruna Morais Guidetti set high standards for students. (Q241)	9	3	1	0	0	0	4.8
Bruna Morais Guidetti was skillful in observing student reactions. (Q210)	10	2	0	0	1	0	4.9
Bruna Morais Guidetti seemed to enjoy teaching. (Q206)	8	4	0	0	1	0	4.7

The medians are calculated from Fall 2022 data. University-wide medians are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college medians in this report are based on classes that are upper division with enrollment of 16 to 74 in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.



Written Comments

Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)

Comments
Great teacher! Her high energy and enthusiasm helped make asking her questions more approachable!
The discussion sections helped me a lot with understanding class concepts
I really enjoyed Bruna as my GSI. She was very personable and helped to explain the subject matter to be more understandable.
Bruna was really great at understanding the points that needed clarification.
Bruna is a great GSI that explains class content well. Thank you!
She was amazing. My favorite GSI in the Econ department I've had.
Bruna is a great GSI! The only possible suggestion I could give would be to quickly run through the 4 main reading questions weekly with the important readings, and maybe keep it in a chart similar to the one in the last section before the exam (with the identification strategy explanations). Sometimes the level of reading content felt a little overwhelming and hard to keep everything straight (especially at the beginning). Especially readings that have many for the same topic (like the effects of policing) that have different findings, elasticities, and validity. I know it is our job to apply them, but at the beginning, it was very hard to keep them all straight, let alone compare them. It took me almost half of the course for this to click, so maybe focusing on that in section a bit earlier could be helpful. Other than that, I think Bruna was awesome and section was super helpful.

University of Michigan

Fall 2022 Instructor Report

ECON 490-202: MicroEcon Topics

Bruna Morais Guidetti

8 out of 32 students responded to this evaluation.

Responses to University-wide questions about the course:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	Univ-wide Median	School/College Median
This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter. (Q1631)	3	4	1	0	0	0	4.3	4.5	4.6
My interest in the subject has increased because of this course. (Q1632)	2	6	0	0	0	0	4.2	4.2	4.4
I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)	3	3	0	0	1	1	4.3	4.6	4.7
I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)	3	3	0	1	0	1	4.3	4.0	4.2
As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was (SA=Much Lighter, A=Lighter, N=Typical, D=Heavier, SD=Much Heavier). (Q891)	1	1	2	2	0	1	3.0	3.0	3.0

Responses to University-wide questions about the instructor:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	Univ-wide Median	School/College Median
Bruna Morais Guidetti seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)	6	0	1	1	0	0	4.8	4.8	4.9
Bruna Morais Guidetti explained material clearly.(Q199)	6	0	0	2	0	0	4.8	4.7	4.8
Bruna Morais Guidetti treated students with respect.(Q217)	6	1	1	0	0	0	4.8	4.8	4.9

Responses to questions about the course:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median
Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions. (Q223)	5	2	1	0	0	0	4.7
Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating. (Q327)	2	1	3	1	0	1	3.3
Examinations covered the important aspects of the course. (Q356)	3	3	0	0	0	2	4.5
Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty. (Q360)	2	4	0	0	0	2	4.3
The test items were adequately explained after a test was given. (Q364)	3	2	2	0	0	1	4.3

Responses to questions about the instructor:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median
Bruna Morais Guidetti was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom. (Q185)	4	2	1	0	0	1	4.6
Bruna Morais Guidetti handled questions well. (Q200)	4	3	1	0	0	0	4.5
Bruna Morais Guidetti was willing to meet and help students outside class. (Q219)	3	5	0	0	0	0	4.3
Bruna Morais Guidetti set high standards for students. (Q241)	5	2	0	0	0	1	4.8
Bruna Morais Guidetti was skillful in observing student reactions. (Q210)	4	3	1	0	0	0	4.5
Bruna Morais Guidetti seemed to enjoy teaching. (Q206)	4	3	1	0	0	0	4.5

The medians are calculated from Fall 2022 data. University-wide medians are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college medians in this report are based on classes that are upper division with enrollment of 16 to 74 in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.



Written Comments

Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)

Comments
Bruna is truly the best GSI I have encountered. She went above and beyond when engaging with students during office hours and section. She explained things clearly and was very patient with clarifying questions.
g.r.e.a.t.
Bruna was extremely kind and an overall good GSI, though she sometimes struggled with explaining material.
Bruna did a great job going over what we had learned in class the previous week. The practice questions she went over were very helpful.
Bruna is the best, no issues at all.

University of Michigan

Winter 2022 Instructor Report With Comments

ECON 490-401: MicroEcon Topics

Bruna Morais Guidetti

8 out of 27 students responded to this evaluation.

Responses to University-wide questions about the course:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	Univ-wide Median	School/College Median
This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter. (Q1631)	1	4	1	0	0	1	4.0	4.6	4.6
My interest in the subject has increased because of this course. (Q1632)	2	2	3	0	0	1	3.8	4.2	4.4
I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)	3	4	0	0	0	1	4.4	4.6	4.6
I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)	2	2	2	1	0	1	3.8	4.1	4.2
As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was (SA=Much Lighter, A=Lighter, N=Typical, D=Heavier, SD=Much Heavier). (Q891)	1	2	4	0	0	1	3.4	3.0	3.1

Responses to University-wide questions about the instructor:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	Univ-wide Median	School/College Median
Bruna Morais Guidetti seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)	5	2	0	0	0	1	4.8	4.8	4.8
Bruna Morais Guidetti explained material clearly.(Q199)	3	4	0	0	0	1	4.4	4.7	4.8
Bruna Morais Guidetti treated students with respect.(Q217)	4	3	0	0	0	1	4.6	4.8	4.9

Responses to questions about the course:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median
Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions. (Q223)	4	2	1	0	0	1	4.6
Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating. (Q327)	2	3	0	2	0	1	4.0
Examinations covered the important aspects of the course. (Q356)	2	3	1	0	0	2	4.2
Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty. (Q360)	2	3	0	0	0	2	4.3
The test items were adequately explained after a test was given. (Q364)	3	4	0	0	0	1	4.4

Responses to questions about the instructor:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median
Bruna Morais Guidetti was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom. (Q185)	4	2	0	0	0	2	4.8
Bruna Morais Guidetti handled questions well. (Q200)	4	2	1	0	0	1	4.6
Bruna Morais Guidetti seemed to enjoy teaching. (Q206)	4	3	0	0	0	1	4.6
Bruna Morais Guidetti was skillful in observing student reactions. (Q210)	4	2	0	1	0	1	4.6
Bruna Morais Guidetti was willing to meet and help students outside class. (Q219)	4	2	0	0	0	2	4.8
Bruna Morais Guidetti set high standards for students. (Q241)	2	5	0	0	0	1	4.2

The medians are calculated from Winter 2022 data. University-wide medians are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college medians in this report are based on classes that are upper division with enrollment of 16 to 74 in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.

Written Comments

Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)

Comments
The time block for discussion was not long enough to really dive into any of the information and to ask questions. Class was always so rushed and stressful
This course should have a second midterm and/or final. Bruna was a fantastic GSI and gave great worksheets and examples for us to work on in discussion which helped a great deal when learning the material. However, the final presentation just did not make sense to me in an Economics class, especially when the whole semester was so conceptually-focused and example-based. The papers were also way too long to keep up with and complete before each class.
Bruna was an awesome GSI, she's absolutely the reason I did well on the midterm, and she went above and beyond for students
I really liked Bruna as a discussion leader. She explained these in a less formal matter which made the material less intimidating and easier to understand. I would not say discussions were necessary to attend to do well in the class but I still went every week. I would potentially suggest adding a participation grade (even just 5%) for pure discussion attendance because it would encourage more students to attend and make the conversations in class more meaningful.
I did not go discussion ever. Bruna seemed really nice though and her midterm review session was very helpful.

University of Michigan

Winter 2022 Instructor Report With Comments

ECON 490-402: MicroEcon Topics

Bruna Morais Guidetti

4 out of 24 students responded to this evaluation.

Responses to University-wide questions about the course:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	Univ-wide Median	School/College Median
This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter. (Q1631)	3	1	0	0	0	0	4.8	4.6	4.6
My interest in the subject has increased because of this course. (Q1632)	2	1	1	0	0	0	4.5	4.2	4.4
I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)	3	1	0	0	0	0	4.8	4.6	4.6
I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)	3	1	0	0	0	0	4.8	4.1	4.2
As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was (SA=Much Lighter, A=Lighter, N=Typical, D=Heavier, SD=Much Heavier). (Q891)	0	0	3	0	0	1	3.0	3.0	3.1

Responses to University-wide questions about the instructor:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	Univ-wide Median	School/College Median
Bruna Morais Guidetti seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)	4	0	0	0	0	0	5.0	4.8	4.8
Bruna Morais Guidetti explained material clearly.(Q199)	3	1	0	0	0	0	4.8	4.7	4.8
Bruna Morais Guidetti treated students with respect.(Q217)	4	0	0	0	0	0	5.0	4.8	4.9

Responses to questions about the course:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median
Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions. (Q223)	4	0	0	0	0	0	5.0
Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating. (Q327)	3	1	0	0	0	0	4.8
Examinations covered the important aspects of the course. (Q356)	2	1	0	0	0	1	4.8
Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty. (Q360)	2	1	0	0	0	1	4.8
The test items were adequately explained after a test was given. (Q364)	2	1	1	0	0	0	4.5

Responses to questions about the instructor:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median
Bruna Morais Guidetti was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom. (Q185)	3	1	0	0	0	0	4.8
Bruna Morais Guidetti handled questions well. (Q200)	3	1	0	0	0	0	4.8
Bruna Morais Guidetti seemed to enjoy teaching. (Q206)	2	2	0	0	0	0	4.5
Bruna Morais Guidetti was skillful in observing student reactions. (Q210)	1	3	0	0	0	0	4.2
Bruna Morais Guidetti was willing to meet and help students outside class. (Q219)	3	1	0	0	0	0	4.8
Bruna Morais Guidetti set high standards for students. (Q241)	2	2	0	0	0	0	4.5

The medians are calculated from Winter 2022 data. University-wide medians are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college medians in this report are based on classes that are upper division with enrollment of 16 to 74 in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.

Written Comments

Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)

Comments
Bruna was incredibly kind and good in class, however not many students often came to discussion. She was clear and helpful to those that came. The review section for the midterm didn't cover everything that was expected however.
I rarely went to section but I know my group members for the final did and they talked to Brunna and it seemed like she really helped them out!

University of Michigan

Fall 2021 Instructor Report With Comments

ECON 327-002: Economics Of Crime

Bruna Morais Guidetti

14 out of 33 students responded to this evaluation.

Responses to University-wide questions about the course:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	Univ-wide Median	School/College Median
This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter. (Q1631)	10	4	0	0	0	0	4.8	4.5	4.6
My interest in the subject has increased because of this course. (Q1632)	8	3	3	0	0	0	4.6	4.2	4.4
I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)	6	5	3	0	0	0	4.3	4.5	4.6
I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)	9	3	2	0	0	0	4.7	4.0	4.2
As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was (SA=Much Lighter, A=Lighter, N=Typical, D=Heavier, SD=Much Heavier). (Q891)	0	1	9	3	0	1	2.9	3.0	3.1

Responses to University-wide questions about the instructor:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	Univ-wide Median	School/College Median
Bruna Morais Guidetti seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)	10	3	1	0	0	0	4.8	4.8	4.8
Bruna Morais Guidetti explained material clearly.(Q199)	8	5	1	0	0	0	4.6	4.7	4.7
Bruna Morais Guidetti treated students with respect.(Q217)	13	0	1	0	0	0	5.0	4.9	4.9

Responses to questions about the course:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median
Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions. (Q223)	10	3	1	0	0	0	4.8
Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating. (Q327)	6	3	3	0	0	2	4.5
Examinations covered the important aspects of the course. (Q356)	5	7	1	0	0	1	4.3
Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty. (Q360)	5	5	3	0	0	1	4.2
The test items were adequately explained after a test was given. (Q364)	6	4	3	1	0	0	4.3

Responses to questions about the instructor:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median
Bruna Morais Guidetti was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom. (Q185)	9	4	1	0	0	0	4.7
Bruna Morais Guidetti handled questions well. (Q200)	8	4	2	0	0	0	4.6
Bruna Morais Guidetti seemed to enjoy teaching. (Q206)	9	4	1	0	0	0	4.7
Bruna Morais Guidetti was skillful in observing student reactions. (Q210)	9	3	2	0	0	0	4.7
Bruna Morais Guidetti was willing to meet and help students outside class. (Q219)	9	3	1	0	0	0	4.8
Bruna Morais Guidetti set high standards for students. (Q241)	7	4	3	0	0	0	4.5

The medians are calculated from Fall 2021 data. University-wide medians are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college medians in this report are based on classes that are upper division with enrollment of 16 to 74 in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.

Written Comments

Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)

Comments
<p>ECON 327 BRUNA</p> <p>I would say the section went well. The speed was a little slow at times which was frustrating when we didn't finish or didn't really get through much.</p> <p>I wish we covered more that related to the HW although I know Sara liked to make the homework hard on purpose.</p> <p>I wish there were more graphs drawn in advance on the slideshow since the chalk was harder to see and got very complicated.</p> <p>With that, some of the work shown could be more clear on what the answer actually was when you posted it to canvas.</p> <p>I really liked your positive energy/attitude as well as your honesty. Office hours were usually helpful although sometimes I understood what you were saying but it wasn't clear how it related to the HW.</p> <p>Homework feedback was what I would expect.</p> <p>You have been by far the best econ GSI I have had so thank you.</p>
<p>I enjoyed discussion, there were a few times though where it seemed like Bruna was unclear about what Prof. Heller's expectations were for a HW/lecture question. That could make things very confusing for students.</p>
<p>Bruna is the best GSI I have had. She is passionate, responsive, and genuinely a great person. She is personable as a student, yet knows where to help. For example, after the first quiz, she reassured everyone and gave her own experience in undergrad. She is careful, skilled, and informative. I think the discussions were helpful and provided a great way to reinforce lecture material. I wish the last discussion was just canceled though. Other than that, Bruna did an outstanding job and I attribute my course success to her!</p>
<p>Great GSI!</p>

University of Michigan

Fall 2021 Instructor Report With Comments

ECON 327-003: Economics Of Crime

Bruna Morais Guidetti

9 out of 32 students responded to this evaluation.

Responses to University-wide questions about the course:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	Univ-wide Median	School/College Median
This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter. (Q1631)	3	5	0	1	0	0	4.2	4.5	4.6
My interest in the subject has increased because of this course. (Q1632)	3	4	1	1	0	0	4.1	4.2	4.4
I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)	5	3	1	0	0	0	4.6	4.5	4.6
I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)	4	4	1	0	0	0	4.4	4.0	4.2
As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was (SA=Much Lighter, A=Lighter, N=Typical, D=Heavier, SD=Much Heavier). (Q891)	2	0	6	1	0	0	3.1	3.0	3.1

Responses to University-wide questions about the instructor:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	Univ-wide Median	School/College Median
Bruna Morais Guidetti seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)	4	1	4	0	0	0	4.0	4.8	4.8
Bruna Morais Guidetti explained material clearly.(Q199)	3	2	2	2	0	0	3.8	4.7	4.7
Bruna Morais Guidetti treated students with respect.(Q217)	7	2	0	0	0	0	4.9	4.9	4.9

Responses to questions about the course:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median
Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions. (Q223)	7	2	0	0	0	0	4.9
Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating. (Q327)	4	3	1	0	1	0	4.3
Examinations covered the important aspects of the course. (Q356)	5	2	1	0	0	1	4.7
Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty. (Q360)	5	2	0	0	1	1	4.7
The test items were adequately explained after a test was given. (Q364)	5	2	0	1	0	1	4.7

Responses to questions about the instructor:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median
Bruna Morais Guidetti was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom. (Q185)	5	3	1	0	0	0	4.6
Bruna Morais Guidetti handled questions well. (Q200)	4	2	2	1	0	0	4.3
Bruna Morais Guidetti seemed to enjoy teaching. (Q206)	3	4	2	0	0	0	4.1
Bruna Morais Guidetti was skillful in observing student reactions. (Q210)	4	3	2	0	0	0	4.3
Bruna Morais Guidetti was willing to meet and help students outside class. (Q219)	6	2	1	0	0	0	4.8
Bruna Morais Guidetti set high standards for students. (Q241)	3	3	3	0	0	0	4.0

The medians are calculated from Fall 2021 data. University-wide medians are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college medians in this report are based on classes that are upper division with enrollment of 16 to 74 in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.

Written Comments

Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)

Comments
bruna was very flexible and willing to help. sometimes couldn't answer all questions
Bruna was great about maintaining an open line of communication and always responded to my questions in <24 hours. She also explained concepts and answered questions in a way that directed me to the right answer by thinking through it, which I appreciated. She also graded assignments in a very timely manner so that students always knew where they stood. Her discussion section was engaging and interactive and allowed students to apply class concepts in a way that helped us better understand the information.
very good class.